1	JASON M.	FRIERSON		
	United State	•		
2	District of N			
	I	Number 7709		
3	ALLISON I			
		Number 13977		
4	I	nited States Attorney		
5		gas Blvd. South, Suite 1100 Nevada 89101		
3	Phone: (702)			
6	1 '	on.reese@usdoj.gov		
~	I	the United States of America		
7				
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
9	IINITED	STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 2:21-cr-00328-GMN-DJA	
	ONTED	office of million,	2:21-cr-00226-GMN-NJK	
10		Plaintiff,		
11	1 vs. STIPULATION AND PROPO		STIPULATION AND PROPOSED	
	V3.		ORDER TO CONTINUE	
12	MARIA I	MANDUJANO-SANCHEZ,	SENTENCING FOR	
		Defendant.	CONSOLIDATED CASES	
13		Defendant.		
14	Maria Mandujano-Sanchez, by and through counsel of record Michael Anthon			
15	Hernandez and Jacqueline Tirinnanzi, and the United States of America, by and through			
16	Assistant United States Attorney Allison Reese, hereby stipulate and request that the Cour			
17	vacate Ms. Mandujano-Sanchez's sentencing hearing currently set for June 16, 2023, at 10:00			
18	a.m. and continue it to June 27, 2023 at 11:00 a.m. This stipulation is made and based upor			
19	the following:			
		<i>O</i> -		
20	1.	Counsel for the government	will be out of the district during the currently	
21	ash adula disputancing has ving			
21	scheduled sentencing hearing.			
22	2. The parties agree to the continuance.			
23	3.	Ms. Mandujano-Sanchez i	s in custody and agrees to the proposed	
24	continuances.			

Case 2:21-cr-00226-GMN-NJK Document 113 Filed 05/31/23 Page 2 of 4

1	4. The additional time requested by this stipulation is reasonable pursuant to Fed.		
2	R. Crim. P. 32(b)(2), which states that, "the court may, for good cause, change any time		
3	limits prescribed [for sentencing] in this rule." Furthermore, a delay in sentencing does not		
4	implicate or undermine the defendant's speedy trial rights under the United States		
5	Constitution. See Betterman v. Montana, 136 S.Ct. 1609, 1617-18 (2016).		
6	5. This is the sixth request for a continuance of the sentencing in Case No.		
7	2:21-cr-00328-GMN-DJA and the fourth request for a continuance of sentencing in Case No		
8	2:21-cr-00226-GMN-JCA. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes o		
9	delay. No further continuances are anticipated.		
10	6. Denial of this request for a continuance would deny counsel for the		
11	government sufficient time to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing, taking into		
12	account due diligence. Accordingly, a denial of this request for continuance could result in		
13	miscarriage of justice.		
14	Dated May 31, 2023.		
15			
16	/s/ Allison Reese /s/ Michael Anthony Hernandez		
17	ALLISON REESE MICHAEL ANTHONY HERNANDEZ, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorney Counsel for Maria Mandujano-Sanchez		
18	713515tant Office States 71ttorney Counsel for Waria Wandugano-Sanchez		
19	<u>/s/ Jacqueline Tirinnanzi</u> JACQUELINE TIRINNANZI, ESQ.		
20	Counsel for Maria Mandujano-Sanchez		
21			
22			
23			
24			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 2:21-cr-00328-GMN-DJA 2:21-cr-00226-GMN-NJK 4 Plaintiff, 5 VS. **ORDER** MARIA MANDUJANO-SANCHEZ, 6 7 Defendant. 8 **FINDINGS OF FACT** 9 Counsel for the government will be out of the district during the currently 10 1. 11 scheduled sentencing hearing. The parties agree to the continuance. 12 2. 3. Ms. Mandujano-Sanchez is in custody and agrees to the proposed 13 14 continuances. 15 4. The additional time requested by this stipulation is reasonable pursuant to Fed. 16 R. Crim. P. 32(b)(2), which states that, "the court may, for good cause, change any time 17 limits prescribed [for sentencing] in this rule." Furthermore, a delay in sentencing does not implicate or undermine the defendant's speedy trial rights under the United States 18 19 Constitution. See Betterman v. Montana, 136 S.Ct. 1609, 1617-18 (2016). 5. This is the sixth request for a continuance of the sentencing in Case No. 20 21 2:21-cr-00328-GMN-DJA and the fourth request for a continuance of sentencing in Case No. 22 2:21-cr-00226-GMN-JCA. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of 23 delay. No further continuances are anticipated.

24

Case 2:21-cr-00226-GMN-NJK Document 113 Filed 05/31/23 Page 4 of 4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

6. Denial of this request for a continuance would deny counsel for the government sufficient time to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing, taking into account due diligence. Accordingly, a denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. CONCLUSION OF LAW For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice are served by granting the requested continuance for the following reasons: Additional time requested by this stipulation is reasonable pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(b)(2), which states that the "court may, for good cause, change any time limits prescribed [for sentencing] in this rule." Furthermore, a delay in sentencing does not implicate or undermine the defendant's speedy trial rights under the United States Constitution. See Betterman v. Montana, 136 S.Ct. 1609, 1617-18 (2016). Failure to grant the continuance would deny parties sufficient time to meaningfully continue to prepare for sentencing, considering the exercise of due diligence. Accordingly, a denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. ORDER Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing, it is hereby ORDERED that Ms. Mandujano-Sanchez's sentencing hearing set for June 16, 2023, is hereby VACATED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the sentencing hearing is reset for June 27, 2023, at the hour of 11:00 a.m. in courtroom Dated this 31 day of May 2023.

THE HONORABLE GLORIA M. NAVARRO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE